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ABSTRACT

Efficacious weight loss which reduces risk of mortality requires both significant initial weight loss and prevention of weight regain. Performing either aerobic or resistance exercise and elevating protein intake during caloric restriction (CR) preserves—and may increase—lean mass (LM), leading to targeted loss of fat mass. To maximize the LM retention stimulus achieved by consuming high-protein diets, gross and acute dosage, distribution and source of protein should all be optimized. Maintenance of LM during weight loss may improve satiety during CR and aids in the prevention of weight regain by blunting the post-CR hyperphagic response which causes overcompensation of fat mass regain known as the fat overshoot phenomenon. Overall, the combination of exercise and high protein diet promotes efficacious weight loss through preservation of LM, which resists weight regain.
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INTRODUCTION

A 2005 world census found one-third of adults qualified as overweight or obese, placing billions of people at an increased risk of mortality. Contributions of excess adipose tissue, reduced proportion of muscle mass and lower physical activity to all-cause mortality underlie this increased risk. Thus, weight loss, specifically the loss of fat mass (FM), is desirable for many individuals. Both caloric restriction (CR) and exercise can induce weight loss, but a recent review on free-living weight loss studies revealed CR results in 12-44% lower weight loss than expected and exercise results in 55-64% lower weight loss than expected. And while achieving significant weight loss can be difficult for some, more individuals struggle to prevent weight regain. On average, individuals who undergo weight loss maintain less than 30% of their initial weight loss 4-5 years afterwards. Understanding how CR and exercise can be implemented to minimize weight regain while still promoting efficacious weight loss needs to be established. Only by minimizing weight regain will individuals experience effective long-term weight loss, reduce mortality risk and efficiently combat the obesity epidemic.

EXERCISE AND ELEVATED PROTEIN INTAKE PROTECT LEAN MASS DURING WEIGHT LOSS

Targeted loss of FM preserves lean mass (LM), including bone and skeletal muscle. By maintaining LM, which is more metabolically active than FM, it stands to reason the decline in energy expenditure (EE) associated with weight loss could be blunted, though this has not been explicitly studied. Additionally, preserving bone mass reduces risk of osteoporosis and fractures, while maintaining muscle mass improves quality of life, and decreases risk of mortality. Even under moderate CR (20%), muscle protein breakdown was found to be 60% higher compared to energy balance, highlighting the uphill battle individuals face in preserving LM during CR.

A recent review attributed 25% of weight loss to loss of LM in overweight individuals using CR alone to lose weight. That value has been criticized as an underestimate and alternative approximations of 33% for women and 40% for men have been proposed based on regression analysis. Regardless, it appears overweight individuals lose at least one-quarter of weight lost as LM during CR-weight loss. That number drops to 12% when a combination of exercise and CR is used and to 0%...
when exercise alone is used to lose weight, demonstrating the preservative power of exercise on LM (Figure 1). Compared to aerobic exercise, resistance training may be more effective at inducing body composition changes. While aerobic training has been shown to elevate basal muscle protein synthesis, whether the elevation following aerobic training is myofibrillar or mitochondrial in nature remains disputed. Nonetheless, both modalities compound the LM-retention stimulus, and neither exercise modality produces initial weight loss above and beyond calorically equivalent CR. According to a small meta-analysis, adding either modality of exercise to CR preserved more lean mass and promoted the loss of greater amounts of fat mass. Thus, performing either aerobic or resistance exercise during CR appears to effectively target loss of FM.

During CR, elevating protein intake at the expense of carbohydrate or fat preserves lean mass. Achieving the RDA protein intake (0.8 g/kg) in populations who consume <0.8 g/kg can help preserve LM. Intakes exceeding the RDA result in elevation of MPS to energy balance levels and further preservation of LM, though intakes exceeding 1.6 g/kg appear to provide little additional benefit to the MPS stimulus. In one study, LM increased in participants who consumed 2.4 g/kg protein while performing resistance exercise and high-intensity interval training (HIIT) under 40% CR, whereas participants consuming 1.2 g/kg only maintained LM, suggesting a measurable dose-response above 1.2 g/kg. While it is unclear whether 2.4 g/kg produces greater LM gains than 1.6 g/kg, the threshold for gross protein intake that maximally stimulates LM retention likely resides between 1.6 and 2.4 g/kg. Furthermore, combining protein intakes of 1.4–1.6 g/kg with resistance exercise during CR exceeds MPS energy balance levels. Thus, maximizing LM retention during CR appears to require a combination of protein intake approximately twice the RDA and an exercise stimulus.

**Increased Protein Intakes Promote Weight Loss**

Conflicting evidence exists whether high protein diets promote greater weight loss than calorically-equivalent low protein diets. Given the ability of protein to produce a greater thermic effect of food than fat or carbohydrate, high protein diets promoting greater weight loss seems logical. In fact, replacing 17-18% of calories from carbohydrate with pork or soy protein was shown to elevate 24-hour EE, potentially signaling the significance of this cumulative thermic effect throughout a day. Additionally, over six months, greater fat and weight loss resulted from consuming 25% of calories as protein compared to 12% in one study. Greater retention of LM, the major determinant of resting EE, could also contribute to this effect. Finally, a series of studies investigating the carbohydrate to protein ratio of diets as a determinant of weight loss showed ratios (1.0-1.4) corresponding to 1.0-1.6 g/kg protein produced more weight loss than ratios (3.0-3.5) corresponding to 0.7-0.8 g/kg protein with or without exercise in short-term interventions. However, many studies failed to find significant differences in weight loss between low- and high-protein diets. One study, which examined blood lipid profiles of participants, reconciled the inability of the high-protein diet to produce more weight loss by showing that while individuals with healthy triglyceride levels lost equal amounts of weight on high- and low-protein diets, individuals with elevated triglyceride levels lost significantly less weight on a low-protein diet over a twelve-week intervention. Thus, the question of whether high protein diets can produce greater weight loss over short- and long-term durations remains relatively controversial, though the metabolic health of the individuals seeking to lose weight has been postulated to play a role.

**Protein Source and Distribution Influence Lean Mass Preservation**

Protein source and distribution present two confounding factors which may influence the long-term LM preservation response to high protein diet. Despite differences in their ability to acutely stimulate myofibrillar protein synthesis (MPS) in an energy balance state, soy, casein and whey...
have all been shown to preserve or increase LM during CR weight loss. However, casein supplementation produced twice the strength and LM gains of whey supplementation during 12-weeks of resistance training under 20% CR in one study, suggesting slow-absorbing casein may be a superior protein source during CR weight loss for improving LM retention. Research showing casein consumption provides superior 7 hour leucine balance compared to whey protein supports this observation. Additionally, distributing protein intake evenly throughout the day has been shown to elevate MPS compared to skewed protein intake without an exercise stimulus. Protein distribution influences cumulative MPS throughout the day; consuming 20g protein every 3 hours was shown to produce a larger cumulative MPS response than 40g every 6 hours and 10g every 1.5 hours following an acute bout of resistance exercise. Additional literature describes a plateau of acute MPS stimulation around 20g, suggesting 40g every 3 hours may not be superior to 20g every 3 hours. Forming a comprehensive dietary intervention taking into account the above recommendations on source, timing and dose of protein consumption will likely create the most beneficial LM retention stimulus during CR-weight loss.

Preservation of Lean Mass Reduces the Risk of Weight Regain

When consuming a high protein diet, participants tend to regain less weight than when consuming normal protein diets. One year after a 12-week weight loss program, participants consuming the most protein maintained the most weight loss despite nonsignificant group differences in initial weight loss. The ability to more effectively maintain weight loss on a high-protein diet may stem from the aforementioned preservation of LM. It appears the body engages in post-weight loss hyperphagia in order to restore pre-CR levels of LM. However, because FM is easier to gain than LM, this hyperphagic response often results in elevated FM above what was originally present. In one study, overweight individuals lost 72% of weight loss as FM, but 89% of weight regain resulted from gain of FM, a response coined the fat overshoot phenomenon. Thus, preservation of LM with a high-protein diet may potentially blunt the post-CR hyperphagic response.

The post-CR hyperphagic response may correlate with suboptimal protein intakes driving an increased desire to consume protein. Following two weeks of 0.5 g/kg protein intake, individuals preferentially consumed higher amounts of ad libitum protein compared to individuals consuming 2.0 g/kg protein, despite no differences in energy intake. With readily available high-protein options in this study, energy intake was not increased, but in a free-living environment without provided food, attempting to increase protein intake could result in a concurrent increase in energy intake. Additionally, participants in this study were not subjected to CR, which may influence the post-low protein response; elevated muscle protein breakdown during CR may drive a greater need for protein and/or energy intake to achieve protein balance following CR. Further research is needed to investigate this hypothesis.

A substantial body of evidence suggests high-protein diet consumption during CR improves satiety. These effects have been shown both in acute and long-term studies. Following a 99% carbohydrate lunch, participants consumed 31% more calories at an ad libitum dinner than participants consuming a 71.5% protein lunch. In another study, participants consumed 18% fewer calories on a high protein diet over a six-month intervention, highlighting the longevity of protein’s satiating effects. However, different sources of protein may provide different levels of acute physiological and subjective satiety and more research should evaluate the satiating effect of different protein sources under CR. Nonetheless, due to the large body of evidence supporting improved satiety and preservation of LM with high protein diet consumption, the ability of a high-protein diet to preserve LM and prevent weight regain may underscore the improvements in satiety. Substantial further research is needed to elucidate the potential connection between these two ubiquitous outcomes.

CONCLUSION

Consuming a high-protein diet during CR results in preservation of LM and improved satiety. While high-protein seem to promote lower weight regain, conflicting evidence exists whether high-protein diets can also result in greater initial weight loss. Based on available evidence, overweight or obese individuals seeking to lose weight and/or maintain weight loss should combine high protein intake (1.6 g/kg or greater) with CR and either resistance or aerobic exercise to maximize the proportion of FM lost during weight loss. In conclusion, elevating protein intake during an energy deficit induced by CR, exercise or a combination improves the likelihood of success in weight loss endeavours through retention of lean mass and improved satiety.
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